

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,937	09/09/2003	Richard M. Fastow	AMD-H0636	3100	
75	90 09/08/2006		EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			MENZ, DOUGLAS M		
Third Floor Two North Market Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95113			2891		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/658,937 FASTOW ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner **Art Unit** Douglas M. Menz 2801

		Douglas W. Wenz	2891				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ado	ress			
THE RE	EPLY FILED 28 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. ⊠ TI th pl a <u>tir</u>	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) 🗵	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).					
have bed under 37 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expose of the control of the cont	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
fil a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
	<u>OMENTS</u>						
3. ⊠ I	They raise now issues that would require first the	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(d (h) $igtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further \cos	onsideration and/or search (see NO	IE below);				
	They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(-	appeal; and/or	itter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. 🔲 т	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,			
1 🔲 .6 no	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a pn-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
he Ti	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of			
	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:						
	laim(s) objected to:						
С	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
eı	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
	Day My 8/31/0	6					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Dong 1 8/31/06

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amended claim language requires further consideration and an additional search.